WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No - MA-159 of 2018(OA-699 of 2018).

Carial Na and	Mahesh Khaling (Rai). VsThe State of West Bengal& Others.	Office action with date
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
01	For the Applicant : Mr.D. K. Mukherjee, Advocate.	
14-11-2018	For the State Respondents: Mr.S. Ghosh, Mr. R. A. Chowdhury, Advocates.	
	Affidavit of service is filed be kept on record. The instant application has been filed basically praying for compassionate appointment due to the death of the father of the applicant who died on 16-03-2001. Thereafter the applicant made prayer for compassionate appointment on 20-03-2007. However till date no steps has been taken. According to the applicant his case was forwarded by the Development Officer In-Charge, Superintendent of Sericulture, Kalimpong to the Joint Director of Sericulture, North Zone, Siliguri on 30-03-2007 (Annexure –	

Mahesh Khaling (Rai)

Fc	rr	n	N	0	•
----	----	---	---	---	---

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-159 of 2018(OA-699 of 2018).

erial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	received by the applicant with regard to his	3
	compassionate appointment till date. Being	
	aggrieved with, he has filed the instant	
	application. The applicant has also filed one	
	MA application for condonation of delay	
	wherein he has stated that since no action was	
	taken in the mean time the applicant made	
	further prayer on 02-01-2018. It has been	
	further submitted that due to non-co-operation	
	and discouraging comments by the authority	
	verbally while receiving any application for	
	compassionate appointment, the unintentional	
	delay was caused for preferring the instant	
	application.	
	The Counsel for the respondent has	
	raised the preliminary objection on the point of	
	limitation. It has been further submitted that	
	the applicant's father died in the year 2001	
	wherefrom the applicant approached the	
	authority for the first time in 2007. Therefore	
	the main purpose of compassionate	
	appointment has already been frustrated.	

Manesh Khaling (Kal)

Form No.

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-159 of 2018(OA-699 of 2018).

erial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Moreover he had approached this Tribunal after	
	11 years of long time that too without any	
	cogent reason for such delay. Therefore he has	
	prayed for dismissal of the MA as well as the	
	OA.	
	Heard both the parties and perused	
	the record. It is noted that the applicant's	
	father died in the year 2001 and he approached	
	the authority for compassionate appointment in	
	2007 only. Even, he approached this Tribunal	
	on 30 th August, 2018 wherein no cogent reason	
	for such delay has been stated. As the	
	compassionate appointment is not a matter of	
	right, such unexplained delay for approaching	
	the Tribunal under Section 21 is a hindrance to	
	condone the delay as well as to entertain the	
	OA. Therefore, the main purpose of the	
	compassionate appointment has become	
	frustrated as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court.	
	In view of the above, we do not find	
	any reason to entertain MA for condonation of	
	delay. Therefore MA is rejected consequentially	

	Mahesh Khaling (Rai)
Form No.	
	Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-159 of 2018(OA-699 of 2018).

erial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	the OA is also dismissed in view of the above	
	observations.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
Mihir		